

First-mover advantage of defecting coalitions in international climate negotiations

Jan Kersting

Fraunhofer Institute for Systems and Innovation Research

04 July 2013

Outline

Basic model

First-mover advantage

Results

Conclusion

Some definitions

- ▶ A *cooperative game* (N, v) consists of a set of players N and a *characteristic function* $v : 2^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.
- ▶ $v(S)$ is called the *value* of coalition $S \subseteq N$.
- ▶ An allocation is a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\sum_{i \in N} x_i = v(N)$.
- ▶ The *core* of the game is the set of all allocations from which no coalition has an incentive to deviate.

$$\mathcal{C}(N, v) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \sum_{i \in S} x_i \geq v(S) \forall S \subsetneq N\}$$

Basic model

Our setup is based on the model of transfrontier pollution by Chander and Tulkens (1997):

- ▶ set of players $N = \{1, \dots, n\}$
- ▶ emissions E_i
- ▶ production function $P_i(E_i)$, depending on a country's own emissions. It is assumed to be monotonically increasing up to a baseline emission level E_i^0 and concave.
- ▶ benefit function $B_i(E_N)$, depending on total emissions E_N . It describes the virtues of a reduction in total emissions and is assumed to be monotonically decreasing and concave.

Basic model II

- ▶ Assume some set of countries $S \subset N$ forms a coalition.
- ▶ Countries determine their emissions by maximizing utility, the sum of production function and benefit function.
- ▶ Coalition members maximize joint utility of the coalition, while non-members maximize individual utility.

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{(E_i)_{i \in S}} \sum_{i \in S} [P_i(E_i) + B_i(E_N)] \\ & \max_{E_j} P_j(E_j) + B_j(E_N) \quad \forall j \notin S \end{aligned}$$

Basic model III

This behaviour of non-members is the γ -assumption by Hart and Kurz (1983). The characteristic function of the associated game is defined by

$$v^\gamma(S) := \max_{(E_i)_{i \in S}} \sum_{i \in S} [P_i(E_i) + B_i(E_N)].$$

The core of this game (the γ -core) is shown to be non-empty for certain classes of games by Chander and Tulkens (1997). This result is extended to standard convexity assumptions by Helm (2001).

First-mover advantage

We changed the optimization problem slightly:

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{(E_i)_{i \in S}} \sum_{i \in S} [P_i(E_i) + B_i(E_N)] \\ \text{s.t. } & E_j = \arg \max_{E_j} P_j(E_j) + B_j(E_N) \quad \forall j \notin S \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ When calculating its optimal emission vector, the coalition no longer takes the emissions of non-members as given, but takes their best-reply functions into account.
- ▶ Non-members take the emissions of the coalition as given.
- ▶ In the economic model of Stackelberg competition, S is the leader and non-members are followers.
- ▶ The associated characteristic function was called v^ϕ by Marini and Currarini (2003).

Theoretical results

As the coalition is in a better position in the Stackelberg model, we have

$$v^{\phi}(S) \geq v^{\gamma}(S) \quad \forall S \subset N.$$

Let \mathcal{C}^{ϕ} and \mathcal{C}^{γ} be the cores of the corresponding games. Then we have

$$\mathcal{C}^{\phi} \subset \mathcal{C}^{\gamma}.$$

Marini and Currarini (2003) showed that the inclusion is strict and that the ϕ -core can be empty for certain parameters.

Quadratic analysis

For our analysis, we use quadratic and symmetric functions

$$P_i(E_i) = P^0 - \mu(E^0 - E_i)^2$$
$$B_i(E_N) = B^{max} - \pi E_N^2,$$

with

- ▶ Baseline production P^0 (in monetary units).
- ▶ Baseline emissions E^0 .
- ▶ Abatement cost parameter $\mu > 0$ (in $\frac{\text{money}}{\text{emissions}^2}$).
- ▶ Maximal environmental benefits B^{max} (in monetary units).
This level is reached for zero global emissions.
- ▶ Vulnerability parameter $\pi > 0$ (in $\frac{\text{money}}{\text{emissions}^2}$).

Quadratic analysis II

We check if the symmetric allocation lies in the core, i.e.

$$v^\phi(S) \leq \frac{s}{n} v^\phi(N) \quad \forall s = 1, \dots, n-1$$

This leads to

$$\frac{\pi}{\mu} \leq \frac{n+s-2}{n-s}.$$

This quotient is monotonically increasing in s , so $s = 1$ is the only relevant case. Therefore, this is necessary and sufficient condition for non-emptiness of the core.

Quadratic analysis III

In the case of $s = 1$, the condition simplifies to

$$\pi \leq \mu.$$

This means that higher damage costs lead to „less cooperation“.

Interpretation:

- ▶ If damages are high enough, countries will abate enough by themselves, so no cooperation is needed.
- ▶ The members of the Stackelberg leader coalition have the advantage of forcing non-members to emit less, by emitting more themselves. This mechanism works even better when damages are high.

Conclusion

- ▶ Standard Chander / Tulkens γ -game has non-empty core.
- ▶ Introducing first-mover advantage for the coalition can cause an empty core for certain parameter combinations.
- ▶ In this situation a high damage cost parameter leads to "less cooperation".
- ▶ In numerical simulations, this carries over to the non-symmetric case.

Thank you!